PTO/SB/21 (07-06)
Approved for use through 09/30/2006. OMB 0651-0031
U.S. Patent and Trademark Office: U.S. DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE

		Δ	Application Number	10/797,25	59	
TRANSMITTAL FORM (to be used for all correspondence after initial filing) Total Number of Pages in This Submission 6			Filing Date	03/10/2004		
			First Named Inventor	Kevin Ptasienski et al.		
			Art Unit	3742		
			Examiner Name	Leonid M. Fastovsky		
			Attorney Docket Number		7377H-000009/US	
				_1		
Part B – Issue Fee Transmittal Form		ENCLOSURES (check all that apply) Drawing(s)		After Allowance Communication to Technology Center (TC)		
⊠ Fee Attached		Licensing-related Papers		Appeal Communication to Board of Appeals and Interferences		
Amendment / Reply		Petition			Appeal Communication to TC (Appeal Notice, Brief, Reply Brief)	
After Final		Petition to Convert to a Provisional Application		Proprietary Information		
Affidavits/declaration(s)		Change of Correspondence Address		☐ Status Letter		
Extension of Time Request		Terminal Disclaimer		Other Enclosure(s) (please identify below):		
Express Abandonment Request		☐ Request for Refund ☐ CD, Number of CD(s)		Comments on Statement of Reasons for Allowance; Return Receipt Postcard		
☐ Information Disclosure Statement						
Certified Copy of Priority Document(s)		Remarks				
Response to Missing Parts/ Incomplete Application						
Response to Missing Parts under 37 CFR 1.52 or 1.53						
	SIGNA	TURE OF AP	PLICANT, ATTORNEY,	OR AGENT		
Firm <i>or</i> Individual name	Harness, Dickey & Pierce, P.L.C.		Attorney Name Kelly K. Burris		eg. No. 6,361	
Signature	Kellet. Be	mi				
Date	September 29, 2006					
	C	ERTIFICATE	OF TRANSMISSION/MA	ILING		
	postage as first o	class mail in a			d with the United States Postar for Patents, P.O. Box 1450	
Typed or printed name Leah R. Warshaw				Express Mail Label No.		

This collection of information is required by 37 CFR 1.5. The information is required to obtain or retain a benefit by the public which is to file (and by the USPTO to process) an application. Confidentiality is governed by 35 U.S.C. 122 and 37 CFR 1.14. This collection is estimated to 12 minutes to complete, including gathering, preparing, and submitting the completed application form to the USPTO. Time will vary depending upon the individual case. Any comments on the amount of time you require to complete this form and/or suggestions for reducing this burden, should be sent to the Chief Information Officer, U.S. Patent and Trademark Office, U.S. Department of Commerce, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450. DO NOT SEND FEES OR COMPLETED FORMS TO THIS ADDRESS. SEND TO: Commissioner for Patents, P.O. Box 1450, Alexandria, VA 22313-1450.

If you need assistance in completing the form, call 1-800-PTO-9199 and select option 2.

g/asher

September 29, 2006





IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

Application No.:

10/797,259

Filing Date:

03/10/2004

Applicants:

Kevin Ptasienski et al.

Group Art Unit:

3742

Examiner:

Leonid M. Fastovsky

Title:

VARIABLE WATT DENSITY LAYERED HEATER

Attorney Docket:

7377H-000009/US

Mail Stop Issue Fee Commissioner for Patents P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450

COMMENTS ON STATEMENT OF REASONS FOR ALLOWANCE

In response to the Notice of Allowance mailed <u>June 29, 2006</u>, please consider the remarks set forth below.

Applicants gratefully note the allowance of Claims 2-10 and 15-16 in the present application. Reasons for allowance are only warranted in instances in which "the record of the prosecution as a whole does not make clear the Examiner's reasons for allowing a claim or claims." 37 C.F.R. 1.104(e). In the present application, Applicants believe the record as a whole does make clear the reasons for allowance and therefore no statement by the Examiner is necessary or warranted. Furthermore, Applicants do not necessarily agree with each statement in the Reasons for Allowance. While Applicants

believe the claims are allowable, Applicants do not acquiesce that patentability resides solely in the specific feature or combination of features identified, or that each feature or combination of features identified is required for patentability, or that equivalents of any of the recited features are outside the scope of the claims. Moreover, to the extent the Reasons for Allowance do not separately address the subject matter of each claim, Applicants do not acquiesce to any inference that any one claim does not present patentable subject matter independent of any other claim.

Respectfully submitted,

Dated: September 29, 2006

Kelly K. Burris

Reg. No. 46,361

HARNESS, DICKEY & PIERCE, P.L.C. P.O. Box 828 Bloomfield Hills, Michigan 48303 (248) 641-1600

KKB/Irw

Serial No.: 10/797,259 Page 2 of 2